Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Balanced Scorecard and			
	Quarter 3 Performance report			
	2017-2018			
Report No:	PAS/FH/18/001			
Report to and date:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	31 January 2018		
Portfolio holder:	Councillor Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01799 530325 Email: stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk			
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Assistant Director (Resources and Performance) Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	This report sets out the West Suffolk Balanced Scorecards being used to measure the Council's performance for 2017-2018 and an overview of performance against those indicators for the third quarter of 2017-2018.			
Recommendation:	Members are request performance using Quarter 3, 2017-20: information required	18 and identify any further lor make recommendations on or attention is required to		

Key Decision:		Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which				
(Check the appropriate		definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - \square				
box and delete all those	· · · · ·	No, it is not a Key Decision - □				
that do not apply.)	110, 10 13	not a r	ey Decision -			
Consultation:		• This	s report has been p	repared in		
			consultation with all relevant staff and			
			Leadership Team.			
Alternative option(s):		pod can	 The option of doing nothing may result in poor performance, monitoring performance can highlight where remedial action may be needed 			
Implications:						
Are there any finan	cial implica	tions?	Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details Are there any staffing implications?		While there are no direct financial or budget implications arising from this report, it is possible that any recommendations of the Committee may have some resource implications. For example, resources may need to be reallocated to improve performance in a future period. Yes □ No ☒				
If yes, please give details		•				
Are there any ICT implications? If		Yes □ No ⊠				
yes, please give details		• Voc □ No ⊠				
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details		 Yes □ No ☒ There are no legal implications from this report. Poor performance levels may impact on the Council's ability to implement its policies or high-level strategies. 				
Are there any equality implications?		Yes □ No ⊠				
If yes, please give details		•				
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)				
Risk area	Inherent le risk (before controls)		Controls	Residual risk (after controls)		
Failure to achieve	Low/Medium/ High	High*	Regular reporting of	Low/Medium/ High* Medium		
optimum or target performance which may impact on resources	riigii		performance to Joint Leadership Team, Portfolio Holders and to PASC can highlight where remedial action may be needed.	Medium		
Ward(s) affected:		All Ward				

Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link	PASC - May 2017 - Item 8 - Balanced Scorecard Targets 2017-18	
included)		
Documents attached:	Appendix A – Resources and	
	Performance Balanced Scorecard	
	Appendix B – Families and	
	Communities Balanced Scorecard	
	Appendix C – Human Resources, Legal and Democratic Balanced Scorecard	
	Appendix D – Planning and Regulatory Balanced Scorecard	
	Appendix E – Operations Balanced Scorecard	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 Performance Measures

- 1.1.1 Attached at **appendices A** to **E** are the current Balanced Scorecards (based on Assistant Director area) which present Quarter 3 2017-2018 performance. Unless otherwise stated, all performance figures on the scorecards are from a West Suffolk perspective. Where the performance for either individual Council is significantly different from the West Suffolk figure that it would have a different Red-Amber-Green (RAG) rating, details of this are highlighted in the comments box.
- 1.1.2 The information included in the report has been provided by Assistant Directors and service managers. Most indicators report performance against an agreed target using a traffic light system with additional commentary provided for performance indicators below optimum performance. Other KPIs report a data value only (e.g. no target performance) in order to track performance over time.

1.2 **Quarter 3 Performance**

1.2.1 Within Resources and Performance, the "% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days" corporate indicator across both councils shows we have achieved positive results in the third quarter, reporting 94.15% of invoices paid within 30 days. The finance and performance team will continue to work with service areas to try and improve performance against this indicator to achieve the 95% target, with monthly business intelligence reports being sent out with details of all invoices processed.